

Test Group Summary Report

C71 Pesticides in Cannabis

October 2025 PT Round

Issued: November 28, 2025

Table of Contents

1.0	The Proficiency Testing Report	1
2.0	Definitions	1
3.0	Scoring System	1
3.1	Homogeneity and Stability Assessment	2
3.2	The z score	2
3.2	Composite (PT) Score	2
3.3	Identifying Bias	2
3.4	Deviations from Evaluation Procedure	3
4.0	PT Round Specific Data Summary	3
4.1	Summary statistics	3
4.2	z - Score Plots	3
4.3	kernel density plots	3
4.4	stability and homogeneity Plots	3
4.5	Box-and-Whisker Plots	3
4.6	Historic Comparison Plot	3
	Annex A Summary by Analyte	4

1.0 The Proficiency Testing Report

The Proficiency Testing Report consists of two parts.

- *PTC Proficiency Testing Report*: This report contains participant-specific data and other confidential information. This report is emailed to participants at the end of the PT round.
- *Test Group Summary Report*: A Test Group Summary Report is created for each quantified test group at the end of the PT round. These reports contain more detailed information on the round than is found in the participant-specific PTC Proficiency Testing Report. These reports do not contain any confidential information and are made available on the PTC web site.

2.0 Definitions

The participant-specific PTC Proficiency Testing Report contains some terms that new participants may not be familiar with.

<i>Code</i> :	The registration code that is unique to each analyte that a participant is registered for.
<i>App</i> :	If a participant is accredited by CALA, this three-digit number is the appendix number that the accredited method is assigned to.
<i>N</i> :	The number of participants results that were used to calculate the summary statistics. This excludes qualified data (e.g., <) and any results that were flagged as outliers.
<i>Assigned</i> :	The Assigned Value is the robust mean of the reported results, outliers excluded. This is often referred to as the “target” value.
<i>±u</i> :	The uncertainty of the assigned value.
<i>Reported</i> :	The result reported by the participant.
<i>s</i> :	The Standard Deviation of Proficiency Assessment (SDPA). This value is used to determine the acceptance limits for the PT evaluation.
<i>z-Score</i> :	A value assigned to each reported result that is a measure of the degree to which it deviates from the Assigned Value.
<i>Score</i> :	The composite score of the four results reported for each analyte. It is normalized to a score out of 100.
<i>Bias</i> :	A flag assigned if bias is detected using the re-scaled z-score procedure.

3.0 Scoring System

Participant performance is evaluated for each proficiency testing sample by a quantitative method that is consistent with ISO/IEC 17043 – *Conformity assessment- General requirements for the competence of proficiency testing providers*, the *International Harmonized Protocol for Proficiency Testing of (Chemical) Analytical Laboratories* (2006), and ISO 13528:2015 *Statistical methods for use in proficiency testing by interlaboratory comparisons*.

The following is a brief description of the evaluation procedure used by PTC. The detailed evaluation procedure is described in PROC09 – PT Evaluation *Procedure*, which is available on the PTC website www.PTCCanada.org).

3.1 HOMOGENEITY AND STABILITY ASSESSMENT

Homogeneity and stability are assessed using participant data. Regression analysis is performed on reported result against order of sample production (Homogeneity) and reported result against date of analysis (Stability). If the slope is significantly different than zero for either then the Standard Deviation of Proficiency Assessment (s) is increased to minimize the impact.

3.2 THE Z SCORE

A "z-score" is calculated for each reported result as follows:

$$z - Score = \frac{(x - \bar{X})}{SDPA} \quad \text{where: } \begin{array}{l} x = \text{participant result;} \\ \bar{X} = \text{the Assigned Value;} \\ SDPA = \text{the Standard Deviation for Proficiency Assessment.} \end{array}$$

The assigned value \bar{X} is generally estimated from the inter-laboratory Robust mean after outliers due to obvious gross errors (e.g., reported in wrong units) have been removed.

The Standard Deviation for Proficiency Assessment, s, is determined as follows:

- The inter-laboratory Robust standard deviation ($Stdev_{rob}$) is calculated using reported results, obvious outliers removed;
- The regression equation standard deviation ($Stdev_{reg}$) is estimated from regression equations derived from previous studies (see PROC11- *PT Regression Equations* for details);
- The SDPA is the higher of $Stdev_{rob}$ and $Stdev_{reg}$;
- When a laboratory reports its detection limit, s will be estimated using a pooled variance procedure that uses both the inter-laboratory data and the reported detection limit.

3.2 COMPOSITE (PT) SCORE

Since each PT round involves four or two separate samples of distinct concentration for each test, it is necessary to calculate a composite PT score for each test to determine overall performance. The composite score is calculated by first averaging the absolute z-scores for the four results and then calculating a final score as $100 + (-15 \times \text{avg } |z|)$.

Acceptable PT Scores equal or exceed 70.

3.3 IDENTIFYING BIAS

The proficiency testing report provides flags for bias. These are determined using the re-scaled z-score procedure.

$$RSZ = \frac{\sum z}{\sqrt{N}} \quad \text{where } \begin{array}{l} z = \text{the z- score} \\ N = \text{the number of samples} \end{array}$$

Flags are assigned for each test group/parameter combination as follows:

$$RSZ \geq -2 \text{ and } \leq 2 \text{ no flag assigned}$$

RSZ > 2	H (High)
RSZ > 3	VH (Very High)
RSZ < -2	L (LOW)
RSZ < -3	VL (Very Low)

3.4 DEVIATIONS FROM EVALUATION PROCEDURE

Other than changes to the Standard Deviation of Proficiency Assessment due to homogeneity or stability flags, any deviation from the published evaluation procedure is described on the cover page(s) of the final *PTC Proficiency Testing Report*.

4.0 PT Round Specific Data Summary

The following pages provide more detailed information about the PT round indicated in the cover page of this report than is found in the participant-specific PTC Proficiency Testing Report. The graphical representations and the statistical summaries are based upon the data after outliers have been removed.

4.1 SUMMARY STATISTICS

In addition to some of the statistics found in the customer reports, this table includes additional summary statistics such as Median, different measures of dispersion, the number of outliers removed, the number of results in the Questionable range ($|z|$ between 2 and 3) and the Unacceptable range ($z > 3$), and whether a data set was flagged for Homogeneity or Stability. This section also includes sorted scatter plots of the data for each sample.

4.2 z - SCORE PLOTS

The z-scores for each sample are ranked in increasing order and plotted. When the data is normally distributed, the plot should show a slight sigmoidal curve, with an equal number of points above zero as below. Each bar in these plots is colour-coded to indicate the analytical method used by the participant.

4.3 KERNEL DENSITY PLOTS

Kernel density plots are generated for each data set. These plots are a graphical way to represent the overall data distribution and are used to visualize possible deviations from normality and unimodality.

4.4 STABILITY AND HOMOGENEITY PLOTS

Plots of reported result against analysis date, and reported result against order of bottling are displayed, along with the regression line. These regression analyses are used to determine if the SDPA should be adjusted due to homogeneity or stability.

4.5 BOX-AND-WHISKER PLOTS

Box-and-Whisker plots are another way to display the distribution of the data. The box denotes the first and third quartile and the whiskers are the 5th and 95th percentile.

4.6 HISTORIC COMPARISON PLOT

The Historic Comparison Plot is a plot of robust mean against robust standard deviation for the previous ten PT rounds as well as the current PT round. This plot can be used to identify possible changes in the sample formulation.

Acephate

Summary Statistics


Not Spiked

Statistic	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
No. of results used for summary statistics	10	0	0	0
Median µg/g	0.473			
Robust Mean µg/g	0.482			
Uncertainty of the Assigned Value µg/g	0.0377			
Robust Standard Deviation µg/g	0.0954			
Regression Standard Deviation µg/g				
Stability Flag				
Homogeneity Flag				
Standard Deviation Used (SDPA) µg/g	0.0954			
Flagged Outliers	0	0	0	0
$ z > 3.0$	1	0	0	0
$2 < z < 3$	0	0	0	0
Failure Rate %*	18.2			

Methods Used


Method	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
LC-MS/MS (Blue)	9	0	0	0
LC/MS (Red)	1	0	0	0

All summary stats, except the failure rate, and the plots below are based on the data excluding any flagged outliers



* Displayed failure rate does not account for the RDL modified z-Scores or inaccurately reported non-detects.


Acephate




Acephate

C71-4	Stability Assessment	Homogeneity Assessment
	<p>Stability assessments are regression analysis of reported result against date of analysis.</p> <p>Homogeneity assessments are regression analysis of reported result against bottling order.</p>	

Box and Whisker Plots



Current Round (blue) Compared to Historic Rounds (green)



Aldicarb

Summary Statistics


Not Spiked

Statistic	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
No. of results used for summary statistics	10	0	0	0
Median µg/g	4.74			
Robust Mean µg/g	4.82			
Uncertainty of the Assigned Value µg/g	0.276			
Robust Standard Deviation µg/g	0.697			
Regression Standard Deviation µg/g				
Stability Flag				
Homogeneity Flag				
Standard Deviation Used (SDPA) µg/g	0.697			
Flagged Outliers	0	1	0	0
$ z > 3.0$	0	0	0	0
$2 < z < 3$	0	0	0	0
Failure Rate %*	9.1			

Methods Used



Method	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
LC-MS/MS (Blue)	9	0	0	0
LC/MS (Red)	1	0	0	0

All summary stats, except the failure rate, and the plots below are based on the data excluding any flagged outliers



* Displayed failure rate does not account for the RDL modified z-Scores or inaccurately reported non-detects.


Aldicarb



Aldicarb

C71-4	Stability Assessment	Homogeneity Assessment
	<p>Stability assessments are regression analysis of reported result against date of analysis.</p> <p>Homogeneity assessments are regression analysis of reported result against bottling order.</p>	

Box and Whisker Plots



Azoxystrobin

Summary Statistics


Not Spiked

Statistic	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
No. of results used for summary statistics	0	10	0	0
Median µg/g		0.769		
Robust Mean µg/g		0.785		
Uncertainty of the Assigned Value µg/g		0.0451		
Robust Standard Deviation µg/g		0.114		
Regression Standard Deviation µg/g				
Stability Flag				
Homogeneity Flag				
Standard Deviation Used (SDPA) µg/g		0.114		
Flagged Outliers	0	0	0	0
$ z > 3.0$	0	1	0	0
$2 < z < 3$	0	0	0	0
Failure Rate %*	18.2			

Methods Used


Method	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
LC-MS/MS (Blue)	0	9	0	0
LC/MS (Red)	0	1	0	0

All summary stats, except the failure rate, and the plots below are based on the data excluding any flagged outliers



* Displayed failure rate does not account for the RDL modified z-Scores or inaccurately reported non-detects.


Azoxystrobin




Azoxystrobin

C71-4	Stability Assessment	Homogeneity Assessment
	<div>Stability assessments are regression analysis of reported result against date of analysis.</div> <div>Homogeneity assessments are regression analysis of reported result against bottling order.</div>	

Box and Whisker Plots



Current Round (blue) Compared to Historic Rounds (green)



Bifenazate


Summary Statistics

Statistic	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
No. of results used for summary statistics	10	10	0	0
Median µg/g	0.525	0.177		
Robust Mean µg/g	0.528	0.176		
Uncertainty of the Assigned Value µg/g	0.0264	0.0135		
Robust Standard Deviation µg/g	0.0668	0.0341		
Regression Standard Deviation µg/g				
Stability Flag				
Homogeneity Flag				
Standard Deviation Used (SDPA) µg/g	0.0668	0.0341		
Flagged Outliers	0	0	0	0
$ z > 3.0$	1	1	0	0
$2 < z < 3$	0	0	0	0
Failure Rate %*	18.2			

Methods Used


Method	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
LC-MS/MS (Blue)	9	9	0	0
LC/MS (Red)	1	1	0	0

All summary stats, except the failure rate, and the plots below are based on the data excluding any flagged outliers



* Displayed failure rate does not account for the RDL modified z-Scores or inaccurately reported non-detects.


Bifenazate




Bifenazate

C71-4	Stability Assessment	Homogeneity Assessment
	<p>Stability assessments are regression analysis of reported result against date of analysis.</p> <p>Homogeneity assessments are regression analysis of reported result against bottling order.</p>	

Box and Whisker Plots



Current Round (blue) Compared to Historic Rounds (green)



Boscalid

Summary Statistics


Not Spiked

Statistic	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
No. of results used for summary statistics	8	0	0	0
Median µg/g	0.399			
Robust Mean µg/g	0.399			
Uncertainty of the Assigned Value µg/g	0.0301			
Robust Standard Deviation µg/g	0.0680			
Regression Standard Deviation µg/g				
Stability Flag				
Homogeneity Flag				
Standard Deviation Used (SDPA) µg/g	0.0680			
Flagged Outliers	0	1	0	0
$ z > 3.0$	0	0	0	0
$2 < z < 3$	0	0	0	0
Failure Rate %*	11.1			

Methods Used


Method	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
LC-MS/MS (Blue)	7	0	0	0
LC/MS (Red)	1	0	0	0

All summary stats, except the failure rate, and the plots below are based on the data excluding any flagged outliers



* Displayed failure rate does not account for the RDL modified z-Scores or inaccurately reported non-detects.


Boscalid



Boscalid

C71-4	Stability Assessment	Homogeneity Assessment
	<p>Stability assessments are regression analysis of reported result against date of analysis.</p> <p>Homogeneity assessments are regression analysis of reported result against bottling order.</p>	

Box and Whisker Plots



Carbaryl


Summary Statistics

Statistic	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
No. of results used for summary statistics	10	10	0	0
Median µg/g	2.17	2.10		
Robust Mean µg/g	2.17	2.06		
Uncertainty of the Assigned Value µg/g	0.0795	0.105		
Robust Standard Deviation µg/g	0.201	0.266		
Regression Standard Deviation µg/g				
Stability Flag				
Homogeneity Flag				
Standard Deviation Used (SDPA) µg/g	0.201	0.266		
Flagged Outliers	0	0	0	0
$ z > 3.0$	3	2	0	0
$2 < z < 3$	0	0	0	0
Failure Rate %*	36.4			

Methods Used



Method	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
LC-MS/MS (Blue)	9	9	0	0
LC/MS (Red)	1	1	0	0

All summary stats, except the failure rate, and the plots below are based on the data excluding any flagged outliers




* Displayed failure rate does not account for the RDL modified z-Scores or inaccurately reported non-detects.

Carbaryl



Carbaryl



Carbofuran


Summary Statistics

Statistic	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
No. of results used for summary statistics	10	9	0	0
Median µg/g	0.115	0.476		
Robust Mean µg/g	0.102	0.444		
Uncertainty of the Assigned Value µg/g	0.0194	0.0667		
Robust Standard Deviation µg/g	0.0491	0.160		
Regression Standard Deviation µg/g				
Stability Flag				
Homogeneity Flag				
Standard Deviation Used (SDPA) µg/g	0.0491	0.160		
Flagged Outliers	0	0	0	0
$ z > 3.0$	0	1	0	0
$2 < z < 3$	1	0	0	0
Failure Rate %*	18.2			

Methods Used



Method	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
LC-MS/MS (Blue)	9	8	0	0
LC/MS (Red)	1	1	0	0

All summary stats, except the failure rate, and the plots below are based on the data excluding any flagged outliers



* Displayed failure rate does not account for the RDL modified z-Scores or inaccurately reported non-detects.


Carbofuran




Carbofuran

C71-4	Stability Assessment	Homogeneity Assessment
	<p>Stability assessments are regression analysis of reported result against date of analysis.</p> <p>Homogeneity assessments are regression analysis of reported result against bottling order.</p>	

Box and Whisker Plots



Current Round (blue) Compared to Historic Rounds (green)



Diazinon

Summary Statistics


Not Spiked

Statistic	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
No. of results used for summary statistics	9	0	0	0
Median µg/g	0.358			
Robust Mean µg/g	0.344			
Uncertainty of the Assigned Value µg/g	0.0488			
Robust Standard Deviation µg/g	0.117			
Regression Standard Deviation µg/g				
Stability Flag				
Homogeneity Flag				
Standard Deviation Used (SDPA) µg/g	0.117			
Flagged Outliers	0	0	0	0
$ z > 3.0$	0	0	0	0
$2 < z < 3$	1	0	0	0
Failure Rate %*	0.0			

Methods Used


Method	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
GC/MS/MS (Blue)	1	0	0	0
LC-MS/MS (Red)	7	0	0	0
LC/MS (Green)	1	0	0	0

All summary stats, except the failure rate, and the plots below are based on the data excluding any flagged outliers



* Displayed failure rate does not account for the RDL modified z-Scores or inaccurately reported non-detects.

Diazinon




Diazinon

C71-4	Stability Assessment	Homogeneity Assessment
	<p>Stability assessments are regression analysis of reported result against date of analysis.</p> <p>Homogeneity assessments are regression analysis of reported result against bottling order.</p>	

Box and Whisker Plots



Current Round (blue) Compared to Historic Rounds (green)



Dichlorvos (DDVP)


Summary Statistics

Statistic	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
No. of results used for summary statistics	9	9	0	0
Median µg/g	4.57	7.31		
Robust Mean µg/g	4.46	7.46		
Uncertainty of the Assigned Value µg/g	0.425	0.804		
Robust Standard Deviation µg/g	1.02	1.93		
Regression Standard Deviation µg/g				
Stability Flag				
Homogeneity Flag				
Standard Deviation Used (SDPA) µg/g	1.02	1.93		
Flagged Outliers	0	0	0	0
$ z > 3.0$	0	0	0	0
$2 < z < 3$	0	0	0	0
Failure Rate %*	10.0			

Methods Used



Method	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
LC-MS/MS (Blue)	8	8	0	0
LC/MS (Red)	1	1	0	0

All summary stats, except the failure rate, and the plots below are based on the data excluding any flagged outliers




* Displayed failure rate does not account for the RDL modified z-Scores or inaccurately reported non-detects.

Dichlorvos (DDVP)



Dichlorvos (DDVP)



Dimethoate

Summary Statistics


Not Spiked

Statistic	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
No. of results used for summary statistics	10	0	0	0
Median µg/g	0.156			
Robust Mean µg/g	0.155			
Uncertainty of the Assigned Value µg/g	0.00968			
Robust Standard Deviation µg/g	0.0245			
Regression Standard Deviation µg/g				
Stability Flag				
Homogeneity Flag				
Standard Deviation Used (SDPA) µg/g	0.0245			
Flagged Outliers	0	0	0	0
$ z > 3.0$	1	0	0	0
$2 < z < 3$	0	0	0	0
Failure Rate %*	18.2			

Methods Used


Method	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
LC-MS/MS (Blue)	9	0	0	0
LC/MS (Red)	1	0	0	0

All summary stats, except the failure rate, and the plots below are based on the data excluding any flagged outliers



* Displayed failure rate does not account for the RDL modified z-Scores or inaccurately reported non-detects.


Dimethoate



Dimethoate

C71-4	Stability Assessment	Homogeneity Assessment
	<p>Stability assessments are regression analysis of reported result against date of analysis.</p> <p>Homogeneity assessments are regression analysis of reported result against bottling order.</p>	

Box and Whisker Plots



Ethoprophos

Summary Statistics


Not Spiked

Statistic	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
No. of results used for summary statistics	0	10	0	0
Median µg/g		0.595		
Robust Mean µg/g		0.601		
Uncertainty of the Assigned Value µg/g		0.0308		
Robust Standard Deviation µg/g		0.0780		
Regression Standard Deviation µg/g				
Stability Flag				
Homogeneity Flag				
Standard Deviation Used (SDPA) µg/g		0.0780		
Flagged Outliers	0	0	0	0
$ z > 3.0$	0	1	0	0
$2 < z < 3$	0	0	0	0
Failure Rate %*	18.2			

Methods Used


Method	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
LC-MS/MS (Blue)	0	9	0	0
LC/MS (Red)	0	1	0	0

All summary stats, except the failure rate, and the plots below are based on the data excluding any flagged outliers



* Displayed failure rate does not account for the RDL modified z-Scores or inaccurately reported non-detects.


Ethoprophos




Ethoprophos

C71-4	Stability Assessment	Homogeneity Assessment
	<div>Stability assessments are regression analysis of reported result against date of analysis.</div> <div>Homogeneity assessments are regression analysis of reported result against bottling order.</div>	

Box and Whisker Plots



Current Round (blue) Compared to Historic Rounds (green)



Etoxazole

Summary Statistics


Not Spiked

Statistic	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
No. of results used for summary statistics	10	0	0	0
Median µg/g	0.544			
Robust Mean µg/g	0.595			
Uncertainty of the Assigned Value µg/g	0.0680			
Robust Standard Deviation µg/g	0.172			
Regression Standard Deviation µg/g				
Stability Flag				
Homogeneity Flag				
Standard Deviation Used (SDPA) µg/g	0.172			
Flagged Outliers	0	0	0	0
$ z > 3.0$	1	0	0	0
$2 < z < 3$	0	0	0	0
Failure Rate %*	18.2			

Methods Used


Method	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
LC-MS/MS (Blue)	9	0	0	0
LC/MS (Red)	1	0	0	0

All summary stats, except the failure rate, and the plots below are based on the data excluding any flagged outliers



* Displayed failure rate does not account for the RDL modified z-Scores or inaccurately reported non-detects.

Etoxazole




Etoxazole

C71-4	Stability Assessment	Homogeneity Assessment
	<p>Stability assessments are regression analysis of reported result against date of analysis.</p> <p>Homogeneity assessments are regression analysis of reported result against bottling order.</p>	

Box and Whisker Plots



Current Round (blue) Compared to Historic Rounds (green)



Fipronil

Summary Statistics


Not Spiked

Statistic	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
No. of results used for summary statistics	0	6	0	0
Median µg/g		1.00		
Robust Mean µg/g		1.05		
Uncertainty of the Assigned Value µg/g		0.199		
Robust Standard Deviation µg/g		0.389		
Regression Standard Deviation µg/g				
Stability Flag				
Homogeneity Flag				
Standard Deviation Used (SDPA) µg/g		0.389		
Flagged Outliers	1	0	0	0
$ z > 3.0$	0	0	0	0
$2 < z < 3$	0	1	0	0
Failure Rate %*	0.0			

Methods Used


Method	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
LC-MS/MS (Blue)	0	5	0	0
GC/MS/MS (Red)	0	1	0	0

All summary stats, except the failure rate, and the plots below are based on the data excluding any flagged outliers



* Displayed failure rate does not account for the RDL modified z-Scores or inaccurately reported non-detects.


Fipronil




Fipronil

C71-4	Stability Assessment	Homogeneity Assessment
	<p>Stability assessments are regression analysis of reported result against date of analysis.</p> <p>Homogeneity assessments are regression analysis of reported result against bottling order.</p>	

Box and Whisker Plots



Current Round (blue) Compared to Historic Rounds (green)



Fludioxonil


Summary Statistics

Statistic	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
No. of results used for summary statistics	9	10	0	0
Median µg/g	0.352	0.383		
Robust Mean µg/g	0.404	0.385		
Uncertainty of the Assigned Value µg/g	0.0533	0.0399		
Robust Standard Deviation µg/g	0.128	0.101		
Regression Standard Deviation µg/g				
Stability Flag				
Homogeneity Flag				
Standard Deviation Used (SDPA) µg/g	0.128	0.101		
Flagged Outliers	0	0	0	0
$ z > 3.0$	0	2	0	0
$2 < z < 3$	1	0	0	0
Failure Rate %*	27.3			

Methods Used


Method	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
LC-MS/MS (Blue)	7	7	0	0
LC/MS (Red)	1	1	0	0
GC/MS/MS (Green)	1	2	0	0




All summary stats, except the failure rate, and the plots below are based on the data excluding any flagged outliers



* Displayed failure rate does not account for the RDL modified z-Scores or inaccurately reported non-detects.

Fludioxonil





Imidacloprid

Summary Statistics


Not Spiked

Statistic	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
No. of results used for summary statistics	0	10	0	0
Median µg/g		0.774		
Robust Mean µg/g		0.766		
Uncertainty of the Assigned Value µg/g		0.0158		
Robust Standard Deviation µg/g		0.0400		
Regression Standard Deviation µg/g				
Stability Flag				
Homogeneity Flag				
Standard Deviation Used (SDPA) µg/g		0.0400		
Flagged Outliers	0	0	0	0
$ z > 3.0$	0	1	0	0
$2 < z < 3$	0	0	0	0
Failure Rate %*	18.2			

Methods Used


Method	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
LC-MS/MS (Blue)	0	9	0	0
LC/MS (Red)	0	1	0	0

All summary stats, except the failure rate, and the plots below are based on the data excluding any flagged outliers



* Displayed failure rate does not account for the RDL modified z-Scores or inaccurately reported non-detects.


Imidacloprid




Imidacloprid

C71-4	Stability Assessment	Homogeneity Assessment
	<p>Stability assessments are regression analysis of reported result against date of analysis.</p> <p>Homogeneity assessments are regression analysis of reported result against bottling order.</p>	

Box and Whisker Plots



Current Round (blue) Compared to Historic Rounds (green)



Malathion


Summary Statistics

Statistic	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
No. of results used for summary statistics	10	10	0	0
Median µg/g	0.725	0.902		
Robust Mean µg/g	0.731	0.937		
Uncertainty of the Assigned Value µg/g	0.0347	0.0300		
Robust Standard Deviation µg/g	0.0878	0.0760		
Regression Standard Deviation µg/g				
Stability Flag				
Homogeneity Flag				
Standard Deviation Used (SDPA) µg/g	0.0878	0.0760		
Flagged Outliers	0	0	0	0
$ z > 3.0$	1	1	0	0
$2 < z < 3$	0	0	0	0
Failure Rate %*	18.2			

Methods Used


Method	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
LC-MS/MS (Blue)	9	9	0	0
LC/MS (Red)	1	1	0	0

All summary stats, except the failure rate, and the plots below are based on the data excluding any flagged outliers




* Displayed failure rate does not account for the RDL modified z-Scores or inaccurately reported non-detects.

Malathion



Malathion



Metalaxyl

Summary Statistics


Not Spiked

Statistic	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
No. of results used for summary statistics	0	10	0	0
Median µg/g		0.848		
Robust Mean µg/g		0.941		
Uncertainty of the Assigned Value µg/g		0.0878		
Robust Standard Deviation µg/g		0.222		
Regression Standard Deviation µg/g				
Stability Flag				
Homogeneity Flag				
Standard Deviation Used (SDPA) µg/g		0.222		
Flagged Outliers	0	0	0	0
$ z > 3.0$	0	1	0	0
$2 < z < 3$	0	0	0	0
Failure Rate %*	18.2			

Methods Used


Method	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
LC-MS/MS (Blue)	0	9	0	0
LC/MS (Red)	0	1	0	0

All summary stats, except the failure rate, and the plots below are based on the data excluding any flagged outliers



* Displayed failure rate does not account for the RDL modified z-Scores or inaccurately reported non-detects.


Metalaxyl




Metalaxyl

C71-4	Stability Assessment	Homogeneity Assessment
	<p>Stability assessments are regression analysis of reported result against date of analysis.</p> <p>Homogeneity assessments are regression analysis of reported result against bottling order.</p>	

Box and Whisker Plots



Current Round (blue) Compared to Historic Rounds (green)



Methiocarb


Summary Statistics

Statistic	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
No. of results used for summary statistics	9	9	0	0
Median µg/g	0.274	0.326		
Robust Mean µg/g	0.303	0.350		
Uncertainty of the Assigned Value µg/g	0.0513	0.0393		
Robust Standard Deviation µg/g	0.123	0.0943		
Regression Standard Deviation µg/g				
Stability Flag				
Homogeneity Flag				
Standard Deviation Used (SDPA) µg/g	0.123	0.0943		
Flagged Outliers	0	0	0	0
$ z > 3.0$	0	2	0	0
$2 < z < 3$	1	0	0	0
Failure Rate %*	0.0			

Methods Used


Method	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
LC-MS/MS (Blue)	8	8	0	0
LC/MS (Red)	1	1	0	0

All summary stats, except the failure rate, and the plots below are based on the data excluding any flagged outliers




* Displayed failure rate does not account for the RDL modified z-Scores or inaccurately reported non-detects.

Methiocarb



Methiocarb



Methomyl

Summary Statistics


Not Spiked

Statistic	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
No. of results used for summary statistics	10	0	0	0
Median µg/g	0.660			
Robust Mean µg/g	0.663			
Uncertainty of the Assigned Value µg/g	0.0751			
Robust Standard Deviation µg/g	0.190			
Regression Standard Deviation µg/g				
Stability Flag				
Homogeneity Flag				
Standard Deviation Used (SDPA) µg/g	0.190			
Flagged Outliers	0	0	0	0
$ z > 3.0$	0	0	0	0
$2 < z < 3$	1	0	0	0
Failure Rate %*	18.2			

Methods Used


Method	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
LC-MS/MS (Blue)	9	0	0	0
LC/MS (Red)	1	0	0	0

All summary stats, except the failure rate, and the plots below are based on the data excluding any flagged outliers



* Displayed failure rate does not account for the RDL modified z-Scores or inaccurately reported non-detects.


Methomyl



Methomyl

C71-4	Stability Assessment	Homogeneity Assessment
	<p>Stability assessments are regression analysis of reported result against date of analysis.</p> <p>Homogeneity assessments are regression analysis of reported result against bottling order.</p>	

Box and Whisker Plots



Myclobutanil

Summary Statistics


Not Spiked

Statistic	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
No. of results used for summary statistics	0	10	0	0
Median µg/g		0.335		
Robust Mean µg/g		0.358		
Uncertainty of the Assigned Value µg/g		0.0474		
Robust Standard Deviation µg/g		0.120		
Regression Standard Deviation µg/g				
Stability Flag				
Homogeneity Flag				
Standard Deviation Used (SDPA) µg/g		0.120		
Flagged Outliers	0	0	0	0
$ z > 3.0$	0	0	0	0
$2 < z < 3$	0	0	0	0
Failure Rate %*	9.1			

Methods Used


Method	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
LC-MS/MS (Blue)	0	9	0	0
LC/MS (Red)	0	1	0	0

All summary stats, except the failure rate, and the plots below are based on the data excluding any flagged outliers



* Displayed failure rate does not account for the RDL modified z-Scores or inaccurately reported non-detects.


Myclobutanil




Myclobutanil

C71-4	Stability Assessment	Homogeneity Assessment
	<p>Stability assessments are regression analysis of reported result against date of analysis.</p> <p>Homogeneity assessments are regression analysis of reported result against bottling order.</p>	

Box and Whisker Plots



Current Round (blue) Compared to Historic Rounds (green)



Oxamyl

Summary Statistics


Not Spiked

Statistic	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
No. of results used for summary statistics	10	0	0	0
Median µg/g	40.6			
Robust Mean µg/g	41.0			
Uncertainty of the Assigned Value µg/g	3.12			
Robust Standard Deviation µg/g	7.90			
Regression Standard Deviation µg/g				
Stability Flag				
Homogeneity Flag				
Standard Deviation Used (SDPA) µg/g	7.90			
Flagged Outliers	0	1	0	0
$ z > 3.0$	0	0	0	0
$2 < z < 3$	0	0	0	0
Failure Rate %*	9.1			

Methods Used


Method	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
LC-MS/MS (Blue)	9	0	0	0
LC/MS (Red)	1	0	0	0

All summary stats, except the failure rate, and the plots below are based on the data excluding any flagged outliers



* Displayed failure rate does not account for the RDL modified z-Scores or inaccurately reported non-detects.


Oxamyl



Oxamyl

	Stability Assessment	Homogeneity Assessment
C71-4	<p>Stability assessments are regression analysis of reported result against date of analysis.</p> <p>Homogeneity assessments are regression analysis of reported result against bottling order.</p>	

Box and Whisker Plots



Paclobutrazol

Summary Statistics


Not Spiked

Statistic	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
No. of results used for summary statistics	0	10	0	0
Median µg/g		0.378		
Robust Mean µg/g		0.347		
Uncertainty of the Assigned Value µg/g		0.0498		
Robust Standard Deviation µg/g		0.126		
Regression Standard Deviation µg/g				
Stability Flag				
Homogeneity Flag				
Standard Deviation Used (SDPA) µg/g		0.126		
Flagged Outliers	0	0	0	0
$ z > 3.0$	0	0	0	0
$2 < z < 3$	0	2	0	0
Failure Rate %*	27.3			

Methods Used


Method	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
LC-MS/MS (Blue)	0	9	0	0
LC/MS (Red)	0	1	0	0

All summary stats, except the failure rate, and the plots below are based on the data excluding any flagged outliers



* Displayed failure rate does not account for the RDL modified z-Scores or inaccurately reported non-detects.


Paclobutrazol




Paclobutrazol

C71-4	Stability Assessment	Homogeneity Assessment
	<p>Stability assessments are regression analysis of reported result against date of analysis.</p> <p>Homogeneity assessments are regression analysis of reported result against bottling order.</p>	

Box and Whisker Plots



Current Round (blue) Compared to Historic Rounds (green)



Propoxur (Baygon)

Summary Statistics


Not Spiked

Statistic	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
No. of results used for summary statistics	10	0	0	0
Median µg/g	0.455			
Robust Mean µg/g	0.455			
Uncertainty of the Assigned Value µg/g	0.0286			
Robust Standard Deviation µg/g	0.0724			
Regression Standard Deviation µg/g				
Stability Flag				
Homogeneity Flag				
Standard Deviation Used (SDPA) µg/g	0.0724			
Flagged Outliers	0	0	0	0
$ z > 3.0$	2	0	0	0
$2 < z < 3$	0	0	0	0
Failure Rate %*	27.3			

Methods Used


Method	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
GC/MS/MS (Blue)	1	0	0	0
LC-MS/MS (Red)	8	0	0	0
LC/MS (Green)	1	0	0	0

All summary stats, except the failure rate, and the plots below are based on the data excluding any flagged outliers




* Displayed failure rate does not account for the RDL modified z-Scores or inaccurately reported non-detects.

Propoxur (Baygon)




	Stability Assessment	Homogeneity Assessment
C71-4	Stability assessments are regression analysis of reported result against date of analysis.	Homogeneity assessments are regression analysis of reported result against bottling order.

Box and Whisker Plots



Current Round (blue) Compared to Historic Rounds (green)



Spiromesifen

Summary Statistics


Not Spiked

Statistic	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
No. of results used for summary statistics	0	10	0	0
Median µg/g		17.7		
Robust Mean µg/g		16.8		
Uncertainty of the Assigned Value µg/g		1.95		
Robust Standard Deviation µg/g		4.93		
Regression Standard Deviation µg/g				
Stability Flag				
Homogeneity Flag				
Standard Deviation Used (SDPA) µg/g		4.93		
Flagged Outliers	1	0	0	0
$ z > 3.0$	0	1	0	0
$2 < z < 3$	0	0	0	0
Failure Rate %*	9.1			

Methods Used


Method	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
LC-MS/MS (Blue)	0	9	0	0
LC/MS (Red)	0	1	0	0

All summary stats, except the failure rate, and the plots below are based on the data excluding any flagged outliers



* Displayed failure rate does not account for the RDL modified z-Scores or inaccurately reported non-detects.


Spiromesifen




Spiromesifen

C71-4	Stability Assessment	Homogeneity Assessment
	<p>Stability assessments are regression analysis of reported result against date of analysis.</p> <p>Homogeneity assessments are regression analysis of reported result against bottling order.</p>	

Box and Whisker Plots



Current Round (blue) Compared to Historic Rounds (green)



Spirotetramat

Summary Statistics


Not Spiked

Statistic	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
No. of results used for summary statistics	10	0	0	0
Median µg/g	0.295			
Robust Mean µg/g	0.291			
Uncertainty of the Assigned Value µg/g	0.0266			
Robust Standard Deviation µg/g	0.0673			
Regression Standard Deviation µg/g				
Stability Flag				
Homogeneity Flag				
Standard Deviation Used (SDPA) µg/g	0.0673			
Flagged Outliers	0	0	0	0
$ z > 3.0$	0	0	0	0
$2 < z < 3$	1	0	0	0
Failure Rate %*	18.2			

Methods Used


Method	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
LC-MS/MS (Blue)	9	0	0	0
LC/MS (Red)	1	0	0	0

All summary stats, except the failure rate, and the plots below are based on the data excluding any flagged outliers




* Displayed failure rate does not account for the RDL modified z-Scores or inaccurately reported non-detects.

Spirotetramat



Spirotetramat



Thiamethoxam

Summary Statistics


Not Spiked

Statistic	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
No. of results used for summary statistics	0	10	0	0
Median µg/g		0.540		
Robust Mean µg/g		0.554		
Uncertainty of the Assigned Value µg/g		0.0224		
Robust Standard Deviation µg/g		0.0567		
Regression Standard Deviation µg/g				
Stability Flag				
Homogeneity Flag				
Standard Deviation Used (SDPA) µg/g		0.0567		
Flagged Outliers	0	0	0	0
$ z > 3.0$	0	1	0	0
$2 < z < 3$	0	0	0	0
Failure Rate %*	18.2			

Methods Used


Method	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
LC-MS/MS (Blue)	0	9	0	0
LC/MS (Red)	0	1	0	0

All summary stats, except the failure rate, and the plots below are based on the data excluding any flagged outliers




* Displayed failure rate does not account for the RDL modified z-Scores or inaccurately reported non-detects.

Thiamethoxam



Thiamethoxam



Trifloxystrobin

Summary Statistics


Not Spiked

Statistic	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
No. of results used for summary statistics	0	10	0	0
Median µg/g		0.882		
Robust Mean µg/g		0.858		
Uncertainty of the Assigned Value µg/g		0.0913		
Robust Standard Deviation µg/g		0.231		
Regression Standard Deviation µg/g				
Stability Flag				
Homogeneity Flag				
Standard Deviation Used (SDPA) µg/g		0.231		
Flagged Outliers	0	0	0	0
$ z > 3.0$	0	0	0	0
$2 < z < 3$	0	0	0	0
Failure Rate %*	9.1			

Methods Used


Method	C71-1	C71-2	C71-3	C71-4
LC-MS/MS (Blue)	0	9	0	0
LC/MS (Red)	0	1	0	0

All summary stats, except the failure rate, and the plots below are based on the data excluding any flagged outliers



* Displayed failure rate does not account for the RDL modified z-Scores or inaccurately reported non-detects.


Trifloxystrobin



Trifloxystrobin

C71-4	Stability Assessment	Homogeneity Assessment
	<p>Stability assessments are regression analysis of reported result against date of analysis.</p> <p>Homogeneity assessments are regression analysis of reported result against bottling order.</p>	

Box and Whisker Plots



Current Round (blue) Compared to Historic Rounds (green)

